



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,128	07/14/2003	Mark N. Wain	03797.00611	6895
28319	7590	05/15/2007	EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. ATTORNEYS FOR CLIENT NOS. 003797 & 013797 1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			CHOU, ANDREW Y	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
				2192
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		05/15/2007		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/619,128	WAIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Andrew Y. Chou	<b>Art Unit</b> 2192	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrew Y. Chou.

(3) Ken Smolik Attorney No 44344.

(2) Tuan Dam.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 May 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 34-37.

Identification of prior art discussed: No.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The telephone interview was requested to discuss the 101 rejections of claims 34-37. No general consensus or agreement was established for the 101 rejections of claims 34-37. It was pointed out that the proposed claim amendment was still "data structure per se"- MPEP 2601.01(II).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



**TUAN DAM**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required



**BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.**  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

TEN SOUTH WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 31000  
CHICAGO, IL 60603-7407

TEL: 312.463.5419  
FAX: 312.463.5001  
[www.bannerwitcoff.com](http://www.bannerwitcoff.com)

---

### FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

---

To: CHOU, ANDREW Y	From:
	Kenneth F. Smolik
COMPANY:	DATE:
U.S. PATENT OFFICE	April 27, 2007
FAX NUMBER:	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES:
(571) 273-6829	1, including cover page
RE: APPLICATION 10/619,128	OUR REFERENCE NO.:
	003797.00611

---

*If you do not receive all page(s) or have any problems receiving this transmission, please call:*

**NAME:** Ken Smolik      **PHONE:** 312.463.5419

---

EXAMINER CHOU,

AS WE DISCUSSED ON THE TELEPHONE, I AM REQUESTING A TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW. THE PROPOSED AGENDA IS TO DISCUSS THE 101 REJECTIONS OF CLAIMS 34-37. I PROPOSE THAT WE SCHEDULE THE TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW TUESDAY AT 3:00 EASTERN TIME, ALTHOUGH ANY TIME ON TUESDAY OR WEDNESDAY IS OK WITH ME.

I BELIEVE THAT CLAIMS 34-37 ARE STATUTORY IN ACCORDANCE WITH MPEP 2106.0 (I), WHICH STATES, "IN CONTRAST, A CLAIMED COMPUTER-READABLE MEDIUM ENCODED WITH A DATA STRUCTURE DEFINES STRUCTURAL AND FUNCTIONAL INTERRELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE DATA STRUCTURE AND THE COMPUTER SOFTWARE AND HARDWARE COMPONENTS WHICH PERMIT THE DATA STRUCTURE'S FUNCTIONALITY TO BE REALIZED, AND IS THUS STATUTORY." FOR EXAMPLE, CLAIM 34 IS DIRECTED TO "A PHYSICAL COMPUTER-READABLE MEDIUM HAVING STORED THEREON A DATA STRUCTURE."

KEN SMOLIK  
44.344

**IMPORTANT/CONFIDENTIAL:** This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. This message contains information from the law firm of BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD., which may be privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, retention, archiving, or copying of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately at our telephone number listed above. We will be happy to arrange for the return of this message to our offices at no cost to you.

CHICAGO

WASHINGTON, D.C.

BOSTON

PORLAND, OR